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#### Abstract

In-plane free vibrations of circular beams with stepped cross-sections are investigated by using the exact analytical solution. The axial extension, transverse shear deformation and rotatory inertia effects are taken into account. The stepped arch is divided into a number of arches with constant crosssections. The exact solution of the governing equations is obtained by the initial value method. Several examples of arches with different step ratios, different locations of the steps, boundary conditions, opening angles and slenderness ratios for the first few modes are presented to illustrate the validity and accuracy of the method. The effects of the geometric parameters on the natural frequencies are investigated in details. Several examples in the literature are solved and the results are given in tables. The agreement of the results is good for all examples considered. The mode transition phenomenon is also observed for the stepped arches. Some examples are solved also numerically by using the commercial finite element program ANSYS.
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## 1. Introduction

Engineering structures often consist of a number of components that can be modelled as beams, curved beams and rings. Curved beams are one of the most predominant components in engineering structures. Curved structural members are widely seen our surroundings, such as railway supports in a playgrounds resembling a C-ring structure, vehicle chassis and frame structures. It has been recorded that the free vibration of curved beams has been the subject of much work due to their many practical applications. More than 600 articles have been summarized in review articles (Markus and Nanasi 1981, Laura and Maurizi 1987, Chidamparam and Leissa 1993, Auciello and De Rosa 1994). The first studies on this argument date back to the end of 19th century and frequently still appeared in the scientific literature. Considerable amount of attention has been devoted to the analysis of such elements in recent years. The arch bridges are widely used structures in civil engineering and theoretical analysis and experiments are still conducted (Lu et al. 2010, Ren et al. 2010). The magnet positioner with the C -arm which is intended to be part of a clinical setup whose other major mechanical components include a fluoroscopy system and a motorized patient

[^0]table. In medical imaging systems as well as many other branches of engineering, similar structures consisting of straight and curved beam components are common (Tunay et al. 2009). Most of cardiovascular stents has the curved section with uniform cross-section. But some of stents has varying cross-section (Jang et al. 2010).

The gravity-type fish cage is extensively applied with the increasing demand for fishery products. The flotation ring of a gravity-type fish cage is the main load-bearing component providing the necessary strength of the entire cage in water and supports the whole cage. So it is essential to study the hydro elasticity of the flotation ring for the safety of a fish cage (Dong et al. 2010a, b).

Micro-devices such as micro-actuators, micro-switches, micro-mirrors and micro-resonators are widely used in micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) and movable electrode can be modeled as a micro-beam (Hu et al. 2010). Micromachined shallow arches have been under increasing focus in recent years in the MEMS community because of their unique attractive features (Younis et al. 2010). Vibrations of spiral beams are studied recently as a prelude to sensing and energy harvesting using the piezoelectric effect in MEMS devices. Vibrational energy harvesters convert vibrations available in the environment to electrical energy. The energy generated can be used to power sensor nodes (Karami et al. 2010, Zhou et al. 2010).

Since their identification in 1991, carbon nanotubes have drawn much attention. A large number of theoretical and experimental studies have been directed toward understanding the static and dynamical behaviors of curved carbon nanotubes due to their enormous applications (Xia and Wang 2010, Ouakad and Younis 2011).

Lin (2008) determined the exact solutions of natural frequencies and mode shapes of a multi-step beam carrying a number of various concentrated mass elements. Then, the same author (Lin 2010) investigated a multi-step beam carrying multiple rigid bars supported elastically.

It must be noted that curved beams are more efficient in the transfer of loads than the straight beams because the transfer is affected by bending, shear and membrane action. The study of the free in-plane vibration of a curved beam using the beam theory is more complex than the analogous problem in a straight beam, since the structural deformations in a curved beam depends not only on the rotation and radial displacements but also on the coupled tangential displacement caused by the curvature of the structure. Many theories have been evolved to derive, simplify and solve the equations of motion for the free in-plane vibration of the curved beams. Generally speaking, all researches relative to this topic can be classified to two categories. One is based on three dimensional elasticity theory, which and the final governing equations involve three or two at least spatial coordinates. The second approach is to transform a three-dimensional elasticity problem to a one-dimensional elasticity problem based on crucial and reasonable hypotheses and neglecting some secondary factors. In this field, the Euler-Bernoulli and Timoshenko theories of beams are two widely used models. The former theory completely neglects shear deformation of the cross-section of beams, while the latter needs to introduce a shear correction factor, which cannot be determined by the theory itself, although shear deformation has been taken into account in this theory.

The curved beam finite elements are the most common tool to analyze the curved beam problems. They are conventionally formulated based upon the displacement fields. Such formulation often leads to excessively stiff behavior in the thin beams. In such analyses, the shear-locking phenomenon occurs when lower order elements are used in modeling. This is because in such models, only flexural deformations are considered and shear deformations are neglected. Another phenomenon is called membrane-locking. It occurs when other classical curved finite elements are used for modeling thin curved beams, because they exhibit excessive membrane stiffness as
compared with the bending stiffness in approximating the extensional bending response, and also the lower order element cannot bend without being stretched. It means that such elements are unable to represent the condition of zero radial shear strains. Therefore, these two phenomena are associated with highly undesirable situations and numerical deficiencies. Thus, much attention has been focused on rectifying the locking phenomena.

The common geometry of the curved member consists of a segment of a circular ring with uniform cross-section. However, some curved beams have continuously or discontinuously varying cross-sections. Vibrations of stepped curved beams have been the subject of many papers. The authors examined the vibrations of stepped curved beams with different boundary conditions by using several methods. Verniere De Irassar and Laura (1987) investigated the first symmetric mode of vibration of circular arches. The fundamental frequency coefficients are determined for arches of discontinuously varying cross-sections carrying concentrated masses by means of Rayleigh-Ritz method. Laura et al. (1988) presented free vibrations of curved beams having non-uniform thickness by means of the Rayleigh-Ritz method. Two different kinds of stepped curved beams examined for three types of boundary conditions. The effect of concentrated mass was also considered. Gutierrez et al. (1989) used polynomial functions and Ritz method to solve in-plane vibrations of curved beams of non-uniform cross-sections. Two types of cross-sectional variations for both symmetrical and unsymmetrical structures were considered, continuous and discontinuous variations for circular and non-circular beams such as parabola, centenary, spiral and cycloid. Balasubramanian and Prathaph (1989) developed a curved beam element for static and dynamic analysis of stepped circular beams by considering the axial extension and shear deformation effects. Rossi et al. (1989) studied in-plane vibrations of cantilevered non-circular curved beams of non-uniform cross-sections taking into account a concentrated mass at the free end. The polynomial co-ordinate function was used to calculate the fundamental mode. Ritz method with Rayleigh's optimization criteria was applied to solve the governing equations and finite element method was used to compare the results. Rossi and Laura (1995) introduced the dynamic stiffening effect of hinged and clamped curved beams with discontinuous variations of the cross-sections that was measured by means of the dynamic stiffness efficiency parameter. Tong et al. (1998) investigated in-plane free and forced vibrations of circular arches with variable cross-sections under the Kirchhoff's assumptions that take the neutral axis inextensible and neglect the shear deformation and rotatory inertia effects. The circular curved beams having both one step and two steps and also curved beams with continuously varying cross-sections were examined. Liu and Wu (2001) applied the generalized differential quadrature rule based on Kirchhoff assumptions to solve in-plane free vibrations of circular curved beams. Karami and Malekzadeh (2004) applied the differential quadrature method to solve free vibrations of circular curved beams with variable cross-sections by taking into account the effects of axial extension and rotatory inertia. Viola et al. (2007) investigated the in-plane linear dynamic behavior of multi-stepped and multi-damaged circular arches under different boundary conditions. Analytical and numerical solutions in undamaged as well as in damaged configurations were obtained. Euler characteristic exponent procedure for analytical solution and generalized differential quadrature element technique for the numerical method were used.

Several examples of arches with uniform, continuously varying and stepped cross-sections are presented by means of a number of approaches such as Ritz, Galerkin, cell discretization, differential quadrature and finite element methods by most of the researchers. Different boundary conditions are examined and comparison of results of different solution methods is performed by neglecting the axial extension, transverse shear deformation and rotatory inertia effects. It can be


Fig. 1 The different positions of step for $\eta=h_{2} / h_{1}>1$ and $\eta=h_{2} / h_{1}<1$
possible to obtain reasonable results for a thin and deep arch by neglecting axial extension effect as well as shear deformation and rotatory inertia effects, even in higher modes. But for a thick and shallow arch, all the effects have to be taken into account for obtaining acceptable results, even in lower modes. For the free vibration of a shallow arch, the axial extension effect is the most important effect among them. A phenomenon of transformation of modes from extensional into inextensional, which occurs with increase in beam curvature, has been observed by several authors (Tarnopolskaya et al. 1996, Tarnopolskaya et al. 1999, Tufekci 2001). The transformation phenomenon is characterized by the sharp increase in frequencies of modes that occurs at certain combinations of curvature and length of the beam. This increase in mode frequencies is accompanied by a significant change in the mode shapes. There is still no comprehensive analysis of the transformation phenomenon and there are no proper explanations and methods for prediction the frequencies of an arch. This is possibly due to the fact that numerical simulations, commonly employed for the analyses, provide little analytical insight into the vibrational problem.
This study focuses on the free vibrations of two-stepped curved beams. The aim of this study is to extend the analysis given by Tufekci and Ozdemirci (2006) for a two-stepped circular beam (Fig. 1). The effects of geometric parameters on the free vibration of a two-stepped circular beam are investigated in details.
The stepped circular beam is divided into a number of arches with constant cross-sections. The governing differential equations are solved exactly for each portion. The differential equations of motion have been solved exactly by Tufekci and Arpaci (1998), taking into account the effects of shear, rotatory inertia and extensibility of the arch axis. The overall solution of the governing equations of free vibration of the stepped arch can be obtained by satisfying boundary conditions at the ends and kinetic and kinematic continuity conditions at the boundaries of each curved portions. The effects of boundary conditions, opening angles, slenderness ratios, position of the stepped portion, step ratios and the opening angle ratios on the natural frequencies for several modes are given in diagrams. The analysis of the mode transition phenomenon in vibrational behavior of a stepped beam is presented.

## 2. Analysis

The governing equations of free in-plane vibrations of a circular arch with constant cross-section are given by Tufekci and Arpaci (1998).


Fig. 2 Geometry of the stepped arch

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\frac{d w}{d \phi}=u+\frac{R}{E A} F_{t} & \frac{d u}{d \phi}=-w+\frac{R F_{n}}{G A / k_{n}}+R \Omega_{b} \\
\frac{d \Omega_{b}}{d \phi}=\frac{R}{E I_{b}} M_{b} & \frac{d M_{b}}{d \phi}=-R F_{n}-R \mu \frac{I_{b}}{A} \omega^{2} \Omega_{b} \\
\frac{d F_{t}}{d \phi}=F_{n}-R \mu \omega^{2} w & \frac{d F_{n}}{d \phi}=-F_{t}-R \mu \omega^{2} \Omega \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

where $u, w$ are normal and tangential displacements; $\Omega_{b}$ is the rotation angle about the binormal axis; $\phi$ is the angular co-ordinate; $R$ is the radius of curvature of undeformed beam axis; $F_{n}, F_{t}$ are normal and tangential components of internal force; $M_{b}$ is the internal moment about the binormal axis; $E, G$ are Young's and shearing moduli; $A$ is the cross-sectional area; $I_{b}$ is the moment of inertia about the binormal axis; $\mu$ is the mass per unit length, $k_{n}$ is the factor of shear distribution along the normal axis and $k_{n}=6 / 5$ for rectangular cross-section.
The configuration of a circular stepped beam considered in this study is given in Fig. 2. In order to use the exact solution of Eq. (1) given by Tufekci and Arpaci (1998), this curved beam is divided into three sub-domains with constant cross-sections. For each sub-domain, the Eq. (1) can be written by using the following boundaries

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { For the first sub-domain: } & -\phi_{A} \leq \phi_{1} \leq-\psi_{1} & \frac{d \mathbf{y}_{1}}{d \phi_{1}}=\mathbf{A}_{1}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \mathbf{y}_{1}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \\
\text { For the second sub-domain: } & -\psi_{1} \leq \phi_{2} \leq-\psi_{2} & \frac{d \mathbf{y}_{2}}{d \phi_{2}}=\mathbf{A}_{2}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \mathbf{y}_{2}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \\
\text { For the third sub-domain: } & \psi_{2} \leq \phi_{3} \leq \phi_{B} & \frac{d \mathbf{y}_{3}}{d \phi_{3}}=\mathbf{A}_{3}\left(\phi_{3}\right) \mathbf{y}_{3}\left(\phi_{3}\right) \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

According to the procedure by Tufekci and Arpaci (1998), the exact solution of Eq. (2) can be obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{y}_{1}\left(\phi_{1}\right)=e^{\mathbf{A}_{1} \phi_{1}} \mathbf{y}_{1}\left(\phi_{01}\right) \\
& \mathbf{y}_{2}\left(\phi_{2}\right)=e^{\mathbf{A}_{2} \phi_{2}} \mathbf{y}_{2}\left(\phi_{02}\right) \\
& \mathbf{y}_{3}\left(\phi_{3}\right)=e^{\mathbf{A}_{3} \phi_{\mathbf{3}}^{3}} \mathbf{y}_{3}\left(\phi_{03}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

provided that the initial values vectors $\mathbf{y}_{1}\left(\phi_{01}\right), \mathbf{y}_{2}\left(\phi_{02}\right), \mathbf{y}_{3}\left(\phi_{03}\right)$ at the reference coordinates $\phi_{1}=\phi_{01}, \phi_{2}=\phi_{02}, \phi_{3}=\phi_{03}$ are known. The terms $e^{\boldsymbol{A}_{1} \phi_{1}}, e^{\boldsymbol{A}_{2} \boldsymbol{Q}_{2}}$ and $e^{\boldsymbol{A}_{3} \phi_{3}}$ can be expressed exactly. The initial values vectors must be obtained in order to specify the solution vectors $\mathbf{y}_{1}\left(\phi_{01}\right), \mathbf{y}_{2}\left(\phi_{02}\right)$ and $\mathbf{y}_{3}\left(\phi_{03}\right)$. Eighteen elements of these vectors can be found by using 18 equations obtained from the boundary conditions at the ends $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and the kinetic and kinematic continuity conditions at points $\mathrm{C}\left(\phi_{1}=-\psi_{1}, \phi_{2}=-\psi_{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{D}\left(\phi_{2}=\psi_{2}, \phi_{3}=\psi_{2}\right)$.

### 2.1 Boundary conditions

For end A in Fig. 2

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { Hinged end: } & w_{1}\left(-\phi_{A}\right)=0 ; \quad u_{1}\left(-\phi_{A}\right)=0 ; & M_{b 1}\left(-\phi_{A}\right)=0 \\
\text { Clamped end: } & w_{1}\left(-\phi_{A}\right)=0 ; & u_{1}\left(-\phi_{A}\right)=0 ; & \Omega_{b 1}\left(-\phi_{A}\right)=0 \\
\text { Free end: } & M_{b 1}\left(-\phi_{A}\right)=0 ; & F_{t 1}\left(-\phi_{A}\right)=0 ; & F_{n 1}\left(-\phi_{A}\right)=0 \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

Similar expressions are specified for the end B in Fig. 2. These conditions yield six simultaneous linear equations in terms of the initial values at the reference coordinates $\phi_{1}=\phi_{01}$ and $\phi_{2}=\phi_{02}$.

### 2.2 Kinematic and kinetic continuity conditions

At the boundaries of the sub-domains, the continuity conditions between the adjacent portions have to be expressed. The continuity and equilibrium conditions at the boundaries of the portions at point C and D require that all quantities at these boundaries of the portions must be equal to each other

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{y}_{1}\left(-\psi_{1}\right)=\mathbf{y}_{2}\left(-\psi_{1}\right) & e^{-\mathbf{A}_{1} \psi_{1}} \mathbf{y}_{01}=e^{-\mathbf{A}_{2} \psi_{1}} \mathbf{y}_{02} \\
\mathbf{y}_{2}\left(\psi_{2}\right)=\mathbf{y}_{3}\left(\psi_{2}\right) & e^{\mathbf{A}_{2} \psi_{2} / 2} \mathbf{y}_{02}=e^{\mathbf{A}_{3} \psi_{2} / 2} \mathbf{y}_{03} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

This also yields twelve simultaneous linear equations in terms of the initial values at the reference coordinates $\phi_{0}=\phi_{01}, \phi_{2}=\phi_{02}$ and $\phi_{3}=\phi_{03}$.
Thus, the eighteen equations associated with the boundary and continuity conditions can be written in matrix form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{X 1} & \mathbf{0 1} & \mathbf{0 1}  \tag{6}\\
e^{-\mathbf{A}_{1} \psi_{1}} & -e^{-\mathbf{A}_{2} \psi_{1}} & \mathbf{0 2} \\
\mathbf{0 2} & e^{\mathbf{A}_{2} y_{2}} & e^{-\mathbf{A}_{3} \psi_{2}} \\
\mathbf{0 1} & \mathbf{0 1} & \mathbf{X 2}
\end{array}\right]_{18 \times 18} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{y}_{01} \\
\mathbf{y}_{02} \\
\mathbf{y}_{03}
\end{array}\right]_{18 \times 1}=[\mathbf{0}]_{18 \times 1}
$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{01}=\mathbf{y}_{1}\left(\phi_{01}\right), \mathbf{y}_{02}=\mathbf{y}_{2}\left(\phi_{02}\right)$ and $\mathbf{y}_{03}=\mathbf{y}_{3}\left(\phi_{03}\right), \mathbf{X} \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{2}$ are $3 \times 6$ matrices obtained from the boundary conditions at the ends A and B ; $\mathbf{0 1}$ 's and $\mathbf{0 2}$ 's are $3 \times 6$ and $6 \times 6$ zero matrices respectively. The determinant of the coefficient matrix must equal to zero in order to get the nontrivial solution of these linear homogeneous equations. The natural frequencies can be determined
by finding the roots of the frequency equation. It is also possible to apply this solution procedure to other cases in which some effects are neglected.

## 3. Numerical evaluations and comparisons

The proposed method is applied to obtain the natural frequencies of the stepped arch with various boundary conditions (clamped-clamped, hinged-hinged, free-free, hinged-clamped and clamped-free) and geometry parameters. The geometry parameters considered here are the opening angle of the arch $\phi_{T}$, the position parameter of the step $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}$ which is illustrated in Fig. 2, the opening angles ratio $\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}$ which is denoted by $\xi$, the slenderness ratio $\lambda=R / i$, where $i$ is the radius of gyration and the step ratio $h_{2} / h_{1}$ which is denoted by $\eta$. It is assumed that $h_{3}=h_{1}$, unless otherwise specified. The numerical examples are evaluated to show the effects of the variation of all these geometry parameters on the frequency coefficient. The frequency coefficient is given as $c=\omega R^{2} \phi_{T}^{2}\left(\mu_{1} / E I_{b 1}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and calculated for five different cases.

Case 1: No effect is considered (the Euler-Bernoulli beam theory in which the effects of axial extension, shear deformation and rotatory inertia are not considered).

Case 2: All effects are considered.
Case 3: Only shear deformation effect is considered.
Case 4: Only rotatory inertia effect is considered.
Case 5: Only axial extension effect is considered.
The numerical results are obtained for $\phi_{T}=10^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 135^{\circ}, 180^{\circ} ; \eta=h_{2} / h_{1}=0.4,0.6$, $0.8,1,1.2,1.4,1.6,1.8,2 ; \xi=\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.2,0.4,0.6 ; \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.4,-0.3,-0.2,-0.1,0.0,0.1,0.2 ;$ $\lambda=R / i=50,100,150$.

Fig. 3 presents the non-dimensional frequencies for different opening angles, $\phi_{T}$, with the different cases considering axial extension, transverse shear deformation and rotatory inertia effects individually. It can be seen that the axial extension is the major effect. It is not possible to model the realistic beam behavior by neglecting the axial extension effect. As it is expected, the rotatory inertia has little effect for the first mode. The frequency coefficient increases sharply for small opening angle and then decreases slowly for larger opening angles. Similar characteristics can be


Fig. 3 The first frequency coefficient for a clampedclamped arch with $\lambda=50, \psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.2, \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=$ $-0.4, \eta=0.8$


Fig. 4 The first frequency coefficient for a clampedfree arch with $\lambda=50, \psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.2, \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=$ $-0.4, \eta=0.8$
seen for the other symmetric boundary conditions such as hinged-hinged and free-free. The unsymmetrical type of boundary conditions such as hinged-clamped and clamped-free are also investigated. The figures for other boundary conditions are very similar to those given in the present paper and they are not presented here for the brevity.

The mode transition phenomenon for this arch is observed around the angle of $60^{\circ}$ in Fig. 3. The mode shape changes significantly from extensional into inextensional. As the slenderness ratio increases, the mode transition occurs in smaller angles.

The results of clamped-free boundary condition for different cases are illustrated in Fig. 4. The slenderness ratio is 50 and the subtended angle of the arch changes from $10^{\circ}$ to $180^{\circ}$. The effects of the cases on the first frequency are shown in this figure. The results of the cases are considerably close to each other for all opening angles. While the axial extension effect does not change the frequency, the major effect is the shear deformation effect in this boundary condition, as it is expected. The frequency coefficient increases with increasing opening angle. This is significantly different from those of other boundary conditions. This different characteristic of frequency curve is not observed in higher modes.

Fig. 5 gives a comparison for the first frequency coefficients of all boundary conditions. The frequency coefficients increase sharply for small opening angles and then decrease slowly for clamped-clamped (C-C), hinged-clamped ( $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}$ ), hinged-hinged ( $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ ) and also free-free ( $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}$ ) boundary conditions. But the first frequency coefficient of a clamped-free (C-F) beam increases when the opening angle increases. This different characteristic is not observed for the higher modes.

Fig. 6 shows the first frequency coefficient of a clamped-free beam for different values of the position parameter $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}$. As it can be seen in the figure, the frequency coefficient is affected slightly by the position parameter. The frequency coefficient increases for all opening angles, as the step position parameter increases.

The Figs. 7 and 8 give the variation of frequency coefficients with the position parameter for hinged-hinged and clamped-free beams having different step ratios. As it can be seen in Fig. 7, the frequency coefficient will be minimum for $h_{2} / h_{1}=1.2$ and maximum for $h_{2} / h_{1}=0.8$ at the position parameter of step $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.1$, where the stepped portion is at the crown of the beam. In Fig. 8, for the step ratio $h_{2} / h_{1}=0.8$, the first frequency of a clamped-free beam increases, as the position parameter, $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}$, increases. But, for the step ratio $h_{2} / h_{1}=0.8$, the frequency decreases when the step


Fig. 5 The frequency coefficients of arches with different boundary conditions $\lambda=50, \psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=$ $0.2, \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.2, \eta=0.8$


Fig. 6 The effect of step position parameter on the first frequency coefficients for a clamped-free arch with $\lambda=50, \psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.2, \eta=0.8$


Fig. 7 The effect of step position parameter on the frequency coefficient for a hinged- hinged arch with $\lambda=50, \phi_{T}=60^{\circ}, \psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.2$


Fig. 9 The effect of position parameter on the frequency coefficients for a clamped-clamped arch with $\lambda=50, \psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.2$ and $\phi_{T}=90^{\circ}$


Fig. 8 The effect of step position parameter and step ratio on the first frequency coefficients for a clamped-free arch with $\lambda=50, \phi_{T}=60^{\circ}, \psi_{T} /$ $\phi_{T}=0.2$


Fig. 10 The effect of position parameter on the frequency coefficients of a hinged-clamped arch with $\lambda=50, \psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.2$ and $\phi_{T}=30^{\circ}$
subdomain moves from the clamped end to the free end.
Fig. 9 shows the effect of position parameter on the first frequency of a clamped-clamped beam. The frequency coefficients have the maximum values around $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.3$ for $h_{2} / h_{1}=0.4,1.2,1.6$ and 2.0 , and the minimum values when the stepped subdomain is in the middle of the beam. The frequency coefficients have the minimum values around $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.3$ and the maximum values around $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.1$ for $h_{2} / h_{1}=0.6$ and 0.8 . The similar characteristics for other symmetric boundary conditions can be observed.

The effect of the position parameter on the first frequency of a hinged-clamped beam is given in Fig. 10. The frequency curves have the maximum values between $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=0$ and 0.1 for the step ratio of $h_{2} / h_{1}<1$, and the minimum values between $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=0$ and 0.1 , for $h_{2} / h_{1}>1$.

Fig. 11 presents the effect of the position parameter on the frequency coefficient of a clamped-free beam. The frequency coefficient increases for $h_{2} / h_{1}<1$ and decreases for $h_{2} / h_{1}>1$, as position parameter increases. When the stepped portion moves from the clamped end to the free end, for the step ratio $h_{2} / h_{1}<1$, the frequency increases, and for $h_{2} / h_{1}>1$, the frequency decreases.


Fig. 11 The effect of position parameter on the frequency coefficients for a clamped-free arch with $\lambda=50, \psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.2$ and $\phi_{T}=30^{\circ}$


Fig. 13 The effect of step ratio on the frequency coefficients for a hinged-clamped arch with $\lambda$ $=50, \psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.2$ and $\phi_{T}=60^{\circ}$


Fig. 12 The effect of step ratio on the frequency coefficients for a clamped-clamped arch with $\lambda=50, \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.4, \eta=0.8$


Fig. 14 The first ten frequency coefficients of a clamped-clamped arch with $\lambda=50, \psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=$ $0.4, \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.3, \eta=0.8$

In Fig. 12, the effects of the opening angles ratio, $\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}$, on the frequency coefficients of a clamped-clamped arch are given for different opening angles. As it can be seen in the figure, the frequency coefficient is affected slightly by the position parameter. There is a continuous decrease for $\phi_{T}=90^{\circ}, 135^{\circ}$, and $180^{\circ}$ while there is a continuous increase for $\phi_{T}=10^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}$.

The effect of the step ratio on the first frequency for a hinged-clamped beam is presented in Fig. 13. For the step position parameter of $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.1$ and -0.2 , the frequency increases sharply until the step ratio of $h_{2} / h_{1}=1.0$, and then decreases slightly. For the step position parameter of $\psi_{1} /$ $\phi_{T}=-0.4$ and -0.3 , the frequency reaches the maximum value around $h_{2} / h_{1}=1.2$. For the step position parameter of $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=0.0,0.1$ and 0.2 , the maximum of the frequency can be found around $h_{2} / h_{1}=1.4$. The similar diagrams are obtained for other boundary conditions. The frequency coefficients are a strong function of the position parameter for all step ratios.

The first ten frequency coefficients of a clamped-clamped arch are given in Fig. 14. The examinations are performed for the case in which all effects are taken into consideration. The other boundary conditions, step ratios and position parameters do not change the characteristic of these curves. The mode transition phenomenon occurs in the opening angles in which the curves approach each other. The mode shapes change from one configuration to another. For example, in Fig. 14, for

(a) The third mode shape, $\phi_{T}=100^{\circ}, f_{3}=1826.09 \mathrm{~Hz}$

(b) The fourth mode shape, $\phi_{T}=100^{\circ}, f_{4}=2052.49 \mathrm{~Hz}$

(c) The third mode shape, $\phi_{T}=120^{\circ}, f_{3}=1427.01 \mathrm{~Hz}$

(d) The fourth mode shape, $\phi_{T}=120^{\circ}, f_{4}=1686.64 \mathrm{~Hz}$

Fig. 15 The third and fourth mode shapes of clamped-clamped arches, $\lambda=50, h_{2} / h_{1}=0.8, \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.3, \psi_{T} /$ $\phi_{T}=0.4$
the beam with $\phi_{T}=100^{\circ}$, the third mode shape is the same as the fourth mode shape for the beam with $\phi_{T}=120^{\circ}$. Fig. 15 gives the third and fourth mode shapes of circular beams with opening angles of $100^{\circ}$ and $120^{\circ}$. As it can be seen from the figure, the third mode shape of the beam with $\phi_{T}=100^{\circ}$ is the same as the fourth mode shape of the beam with $\phi_{T}=120^{\circ}$.

Numerical calculations for the same problem were performed also using commercial finite


Fig. 16 The third and fourth mode shapes of clamped-clamped arches (ANSYS results), $\lambda=50, h_{2} / h_{1}=0.8$, $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.3, \psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.4$
element analysis software ANSYS (version 13) and converged solutions based on the 2-node beam element Beam188 are given in Fig. 16. The frequencies are in very good agreement with the analytical results. The mode shapes are exactly same as those obtained by the analytical method. The third mode shape of the beam with $\phi_{T}=100^{\circ}$ is the same as the fourth mode shape of the beam with $\phi_{T}=120^{\circ}$. It can be seen from the Figs. 14-16 that the mode transition is observed in the third and fourth modes around the opening angle of $\phi_{T}=110^{\circ}$.

The comparisons of the results of different solution methods in literature and this study are given in tables. The classical approximate Ritz and Galerkin approaches have been extensively applied in a large number of investigations, both in their classical version and in the modified RayleighSchmidt version. Clamped-clamped, hinged-hinged and hinged-clamped boundary conditions are examined and comparisons of the results of the Rayleigh-Ritz (R-R), finite element method (FEM) and the cells discretization method (CDM) in the references are performed.

The first non-dimensional natural frequencies for stepped arches are obtained for different parameters: Opening angle of the arch $\phi_{T}$, the position parameter of the step $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}$, opening angles ratio $\left(\xi=\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}\right)$, the slenderness ratio $(\lambda=R / i)$, the step ratio $\left(\eta=h_{2} / h_{1}\right)$. The discontinuity of arch
is considered at the crown of the arch and the different position of stepped subdomain and the opening angles ratios have not been included in almost all of the studies in the literature. In the following tables, the slenderness ratio is taken as $\lambda=R / i=50$ for Case 2.
In Tables 1 and 2, the first non-dimensional frequencies from the Rayleigh-Ritz, the cells discretization (CDM) and the finite element (FEM) methods (the commercial program SAP IV was used) (Auciello and De Rosa 1994) for different step ratios and opening angles are compared with those obtained in this study. In these tables, two sets of exact solutions, Case 1 (no effect) and Case 2 (all effects), are given. These two sets give close results for large opening angles, while the differences are considerable for smaller angles. As it can be seen from Tables 1 and 2, the results of Auciello and De Rosa (1994) are very close to the exact solutions of Case 1, since this reference neglects the effects of axial extension, shear deformation and rotatory inertia. All effects have to be considered in order to obtain the correct natural ferquencies for the beams with smaller opening angles. Tong et al. (1998) also solved the same problem and their results are given in Table 2. It can be seen that their results are in well agreement with those of this study.
Verniere De Irassar and Laura (1987) studied the natural frequencies of clamped-clamped and

Table 1 The first non-dimensional frequency $\left(c=\omega R^{2} \sqrt{\mu_{1} / E I_{1}}\right)$ for clamped-clamped stepped $\operatorname{arch}\left(\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=\right.$ $0.5, \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.375, \lambda=R / i=50$ for Case 2)

| $\phi_{T}$ | $\eta=0.8$ |  |  |  | $\eta=1.2$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Auciello | De Rosa <br> 4) | This Study |  | Auciello and De Rosa (1994) |  | This Study |  |
|  | R-R | CDM | Case 1 | Case 2 | R-R | CDM | Case 1 | Case 2 |
| 5 | 7656.8 | 7216.57 | 7524.508 | 995.785 | 8519.54 | 8639.3 | 8563.159 | 927.356 |
| 10 | 1913.9 | 1802.62 | 1879.500 | 423.746 | 2129.23 | 2157.5 | 2138.546 | 409.841 |
| 20 | 478.06 | 449.142 | 468.255 | 151.225 | 531.73 | 537.08 | 532.404 | 154.026 |
| 30 |  | 198.508 | 206.925 | 82.189 |  | 237.01 | 234.988 | 84.608 |
| 40 | 119.1 | 110.798 | 115.472 | 58.568 | 132.297 | 132.01 | 130.914 | 59.592 |
| 45 | 93.997 | 87.1349 | 90.800 | 52.890 | 104.336 | 103.69 | 102.839 | 53.404 |
| 50 |  | 70.2129 | 73.156 | 49.134 |  | 83.439 | 82.764 | 49.297 |
| 60 |  | 48.1797 | 50.183 | 44.143 |  | 57.076 | 56.631 | 44.355 |
| 70 |  | 34.907 | 36.345 | 34.613 |  | 41.204 | 40.895 | 38.286 |
| 80 |  | 26.306 | 27.378 | 26.398 |  | 30.925 | 30.705 | 29.224 |
| 90 | 23.089 | 20.421 | 21.243 | 20.636 | 25.46 | 23.9 | 23.739 | 22.813 |
| 100 |  | 16.2238 | 16.868 | 16.472 |  | 18.897 | 18.776 | 18.169 |
| 110 |  | 13.1297 | 13.643 | 13.375 |  | 15.215 | 15.122 | 14.710 |
| 120 |  | 10.7872 | 11.202 | 11.014 |  | 12.433 | 12.361 | 12.073 |
| 130 |  | 8.9744 | 9.313 | 9.179 |  | 10.285 | 10.228 | 10.023 |
| 140 |  | 7.5456 | 7.825 | 7.726 |  | 8.5962 | 8.551 | 8.401 |
| 150 |  | 6.40186 | 6.634 | 6.560 |  | 7.2483 | 7.212 | 7.101 |
| 160 |  | 5.4741 | 5.668 | 5.612 |  | 6.1582 | 6.129 | 6.045 |
| 170 |  | 4.71299 | 4.875 | 4.832 |  | 5.2667 | 5.242 | 5.179 |
| 180 |  | 4.0823 | 4.219 | 4.186 |  | 4.5305 | 4.510 | 4.461 |

hinged-hinged stepped arches by using Rayleigh-Ritz method. The effects of axial extension, shear deformation and rotatory inertia are neglected in the calculations. Table 3 gives the second nondimensional frequencies of both clamped-clamped and hinged-hinged stepped curved beams for several opening angles and step ratios. The results of Verniere De Irassar and Laura (1987) and this study are compared in Table 3. The results are close to those obtained by neglecting all effects (Case 1).

Laura et al. (1988) considered the free vibrations of stepped beams with clamped-clamped and hinged-hinged ends by using the optimized Ritz procedure. The calculations were performed for several opening angles and step ratios by neglecting the effects of axial extension, shear deformation and rotatory inertia. The FEM results were also obtained by using finite element analysis program SAP IV. The finite element analyses were performed for hinged-hinged arch with opening angle of $\phi_{T}=20^{\circ}$ and several step ratios. The results are given in Table 4. As it can be seen, the results are in well agreement with those of this study for Case 1. The FEM results are much closer to the exact results of Case 1 than those of the Ritz method. The results of Case 2 are obtained for beams with $\lambda=50$.

Rossi and Laura (1995) studied the dynamic stiffening of simply supported and clamped arches. The effects of axial extension, shear deformation and rotatory inertia are included in the analyses.

Table 2 The first non-dimensional frequency $\left(c=\omega R^{2} \sqrt{\mu_{1} / E I_{1}}\right.$ ) for clamped-clamped stepped arch ( $\eta=0.8$, $\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.5, \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.35, \lambda=R / i=50$ for Case 2)

| $\phi_{T}$ | Auciello and De Rosa (1994) |  |  | Tong et al. (1998) | This Study |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R-R | FEM | CDM |  | Case 1 | Case 2 |
| 5 | 7836.7 |  | 7368.8 |  | 7451.01 | 1002.88 |
| 10 | 1958.9 |  | 1840.9 | 1844.84 | 1861.16 | 426.98 |
| 20 | 489.3 | 456.31 | 458.68 | 459.662 | 463.701 | 152.415 |
| 30 |  |  | 202.72 | 203.157 | 204.923 | 82.818 |
| 40 | 121.87 | 113.195 | 113.15 | 113.392 | 114.363 | 58.991 |
| 45 | 96.166 |  | 88.987 | 89.175 | 89.932 | 53.263 |
| 50 |  |  | 71.705 | 71.856 | 72.4604 | 49.477 |
| 60 |  |  | 49.2 | 49.306 | 49.7121 | 44.374 |
| 70 |  |  | 35.647 | 35.722 | 36.009 | 34.354 |
| 80 |  |  | 26.86 | 26.918 | 27.1291 | 26.184 |
| 90 | 23.599 |  | 20.851 | 20.895 | 21.054 | 20.468 |
| 100 |  |  | 16.564 |  | 16.721 | 16.338 |
| 110 |  |  | 13.403 |  | 13.527 | 13.268 |
| 120 |  |  | 11.009 |  | 11.1097 | 10.928 |
| 130 |  |  | 9.1565 |  | 9.2389 | 9.1086 |
| 140 |  |  | 7.6961 |  | 7.7646 | 7.6692 |
| 150 |  |  | 6.5269 |  | 6.5845 | 6.5135 |
| 160 |  |  | 5.5784 |  | 5.6275 | 5.5738 |
| 170 |  |  | 4.8001 |  | 4.8423 | 4.8013 |
| 180 |  |  | 4.155 |  | 4.1919 | 4.1601 |

Table 3 The second non-dimensional frequency ( $c=\omega R^{2} \phi_{T}^{2} \sqrt{\mu_{1} / E I_{1}}$ ) of Verniere De Irassar and Laura (1987), RR: Rayleigh-Ritz method $\left(\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.4, \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.2\right.$, and $\lambda=50$ for Case 2)

| $\phi_{T}$ | $\eta$ | Clamped-clamped |  |  | Hinged-hinged |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RR | This Study |  | RR | This Study |  |
|  |  |  | Case 1 | Case 2 |  | Case 1 | Case 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 114.436 | 110.979 | 13.54926 | 85.282 | 84.2868 | 10.77567 |
|  | 1.2 | 116.849 | 115.413 | 12.53338 | 88.423 | 88.5597 | 9.724393 |
|  | 1.4 | 121.304 | 120.487 | 11.03094 | 93.322 | 93.4875 | 8.580487 |
| 10 | 1 | 114.419 | 110.939 | 25.3753 | 85.26 | 84.2453 | 23.28559 |
|  | 1.2 | 116.829 | 115.369 | 24.69023 | 88.399 | 88.5146 | 23.45347 |
|  | 1.4 | 121.28 | 120.438 | 23.51548 | 93.294 | 93.4384 | 22.85385 |
| 20 | 1 | 114.36 | 110.777 | 40.93305 | 85.175 | 84.0795 | 31.90491 |
|  | 1.2 | 116.748 | 115.19 | 41.14845 | 88.303 | 88.3343 | 32.6872 |
|  | 1.4 | 121.184 | 120.242 | 40.6088 | 93.184 | 93.2424 | 32.70176 |
| 30 | 1 | 114.238 | 110.507 | 48.71901 | 85.033 | 83.8041 | 34.9088 |
|  | 1.2 | 116.613 | 114.894 | 49.67656 | 88.142 | 88.0349 | 35.98568 |
|  | 1.4 | 121.025 | 119.917 | 49.62477 | 93.001 | 92.9166 | 36.2154 |
| 40 | 1 | 114.079 | 110.131 | 52.50476 | 84.835 | 83.4203 | 35.91803 |
|  | 1.2 | 116.425 | 114.481 | 53.93591 | 87.917 | 87.6176 | 37.11917 |
|  | 1.4 | 120.802 | 119.463 | 54.1942 | 92.744 | 92.4627 | 37.44048 |
| 45 | 1 | 113.983 | 109.904 | 53.56997 | 84.714 | 83.1883 | 36.06533 |
|  | 1.2 | 116.311 | 114.231 | 55.15398 | 87.78 | 87.3654 | 37.29084 |
|  | 1.4 | 120.666 | 119.189 | 55.51266 | 92.596 | 92.1883 | 37.63073 |
| 60 | 1 | 113.628 | 109.068 | 54.96573 | 84.267 | 82.3351 | 48.46284 |
|  | 1.2 | 115.889 | 113.312 | 56.78264 | 87.432 | 86.4377 | 47.51779 |
|  | 1.4 | 120.166 | 118.18 | 57.29274 | 92.013 | 91.179 | 46.68427 |

Finite element algorithmic procedure was used. The slenderness ratio was described as $i /\left(R \phi_{T}\right)$ and taken as 0.05 . The results of the first six frequency coefficients are compared with those of this study in Table 5. The authors think that the third frequency coefficient, in the reference paper, of clamped-clamped arch with opening angle of $180^{\circ}$ and the step ratio of 0.8 was given as 43.843 by mistake instead of 73.843 , which is given as bold characters in Table 5. The results of Rossi and Laura (1995) are extremely close to the exact results of this study. As it can be seen, some frequencies of successive modes are very close to each other and the mode transition phenomenon can be observed around this opening angle. For example, the third and fourth mode frequencies of the clamped-clamped arch with opening angle of $60^{\circ}$ and the step ratio of 0.6 are very close to each other and the mode shapes, around this opening angle, are changes from one configuration to another. Similar case can be observed for the first and second frequencies of hinged-hinged arch with opening angle of $90^{\circ}$ and the step ratio of 0.8 .
Tables 6-8 give the first frequency coefficient for several opening angles ratios of $\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=1 / 4,1 / 3$ and $1 / 2$, and step position parametes $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-1 / 8,-1 / 6$, and $-1 / 4$. For the brevity, the first six

Table 4 The first non-dimensional frequency $\left(c=\omega R^{2} \phi_{T}^{2} \sqrt{\mu_{1} / E I_{1}}\right)$ of Laura et al. (1988), ( $\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.5, \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=$ -0.25 ; and $\lambda=50$ for Case 2 )

| $\phi_{T}$ | $\eta$ | Clamped-clamped |  |  | Hinged-hinged |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ritz | This Study |  | Ritz | FEM | This Study |  |
|  |  |  | Case 1 | Case 2 |  |  | Case 1 | Case 2 |
| 5 | 0.8 | 58.31 | 55.1665 | 7.7465 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 60.91 | 61.6529 | 7.3193 | 38.26 |  | 39.4594 | 5.86404 |
|  | 1.2 | 64.88 | 66.3636 | 6.9685 | 41.45 |  | 42.471 | 6.00704 |
|  | 1.4 | 70.1 | 69.283 | 6.6648 | 45.34 |  | 44.0381 | 5.97385 |
| 10 | 0.8 | 58.3 | 55.1199 | 13.191 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 60.9 | 61.5933 | 12.737 | 38.24 |  | 39.4024 | 8.16437 |
|  | 1.2 | 64.86 | 66.2926 | 12.384 | 41.43 |  | 42.4052 | 8.824 |
|  | 1.4 | 70.67 | 69.2025 | 12.059 | 45.31 |  | 43.9655 | 9.16221 |
| 20 | 0.8 | 58.25 | 54.9344 | 18.838 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 60.84 | 61.356 | 18.706 | 38.15 | 39.02 | 39.1756 | 10.7217 |
|  | 1.2 | 64.79 | 66.0099 | 18.748 | 41.33 | $41.98$ | 42.1435 | 11.666 |
|  | 1.4 | 69.98 | 68.8826 | 18.792 | 45.21 | 43.49 | 43.6772 | 12.3175 |
| 30 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  | 38 |  | 38.8021 | 15.5057 |
|  | 1.2 |  |  |  | 41.16 |  | 41.7133 | 16.1103 |
|  | 1.4 |  |  |  | 45.02 |  | 43.2038 | 16.5612 |
| 40 | 0.8 | 58.05 | 54.2048 | 29.181 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 60.59 | 60.4246 | 28.929 | 37.08 | 38.26 | 38.2882 | 23.7372 |
|  | 1.2 | 64.48 | 64.9029 | 28.964 | 40.94 | 41.08 | 41.1228 | 23.8333 |
|  | 1.4 | 69.6 | 67.6325 | 29.11 | 44.77 | 42.51 | 42.5556 | 23.8907 |
| 45 | 0.8 | 57.97 | 53.9511 | 33.366 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 60.5 | 60.1014 | 32.928 | 37.67 |  | 37.9813 | 29.0307 |
|  | 1.2 | 64.36 | 64.5197 | 32.792 | 40.81 |  | 40.7708 | 28.8678 |
|  | 1.4 | 69.46 | 67.2007 | 32.801 | 44.62 |  | 42.1699 | 28.7144 |
| 90 | 0.8 | 56.97 | 50.571 | 49.275 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 59.24 | 55.8252 | 53.967 | 35.9 |  | 33.9605 | 33.4635 |
|  | 1.2 | 62.82 | 59.4907 | 57.075 | 38.83 |  | 36.2113 | 35.5832 |
|  | 1.4 | 67.58 | 61.5811 | 58.698 | 42.43 |  | 37.2249 | 36.5014 |

frequencies are not compared in the tables. The results of Rossi and Laura (1995) are in well agreement with the results of this study.
Viola et al. (2007) investigated the in-plane free vibration problem of multi-stepped and multidamaged arches with different boundary conditions. The analyses were performed by using both analytical method based on the Euler characteristic exponent procedure and the numerical method, generalized differential quadrature element (GDQE) technique. The first ten frequencies of arches

Table 5 The non-dimensional frequencies $\left(c=\omega R^{2} \phi_{T}^{2} \sqrt{\mu_{1} / E I_{1}}\right)$ for different step ratio (RL: Rossi and Laura 1995) ( $\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.2, \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.1$, and $\left.i /\left(R \phi_{T}\right)=0.05\right)$

| $\begin{gathered} \phi_{T} \\ { }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | Mode No. | Clamped-clamped |  |  |  | Hinged-hinged |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\eta=0.6$ |  | $\eta=0.8$ |  | $\eta=0.6$ |  | $\eta=0.8$ |  |
|  |  | RL | Case 2 | RL | Case 2 | RL | Case 2 | RL | Case 2 |
| 20 | 1 | 18.678 | 18.63896 | 19.315 | 19.2898 | 9.282 | 9.241534 | 10.535 | 10.51651 |
|  | 2 | 41.763 | 41.68504 | 43.523 | 43.42459 | 31.213 | 31.17326 | 32.749 | 32.33543 |
|  | 3 | 68.372 | 68.30448 | 65.685 | 65.65409 | 61.298 | 61.08867 | 63.424 | 63.19179 |
|  | 4 | 70.437 | 70.13246 | 72.755 | 72.41738 | 68.368 | 68.30207 | 65.660 | 66.01205 |
|  | 5 | 99.760 | 99.01473 | 106.32 | 105.3800 | 93.077 | 92.46856 | 99.353 | 98.57928 |
|  | 6 | 116.13 | 115.8252 | 121.84 | 121.4582 | 116.11 | 115.817 | 121.79 | 121.4384 |
| 40 | 1 | 21.336 | 21.2047 | 21.792 | 21.7093 | 14.36 | 14.24645 | 15.123 | 15.06232 |
|  | 2 | 41.056 | 40.92896 | 42.646 | 42.46763 | 30.518 | 30.43807 | 31.953 | 31.84299 |
|  | 3 | 68.990 | 68.95757 | 66.532 | 66.60929 | 60.911 | 60.60486 | 63.077 | 62.73704 |
|  | 4 | 70.173 | 69.76209 | 72.226 | 72.08725 | 68.982 | 68.95305 | 66.456 | 66.52973 |
|  | 5 | 99.810 | 99.04241 | 106.27 | 105.2528 | 93.082 | 92.45327 | 99.256 | 98.37627 |
|  | 6 | 116.20 | 116.2006 | 121.62 | 121.5921 | 116.15 | 116.1637 | 121.73 | 121.6863 |
| 60 | 1 | 25.101 | 24.83377 | 25.330 | 25.15404 | 20.125 | 20.43896 | 20.565 | 19.91771 |
|  | 2 | 39.964 | 39.77252 | 41.330 | 41.05197 | 29.423 | 29.28799 | 30.715 | 30.52681 |
|  | 3 | 69.757 | 69.1901 | 67.783 | 67.9962 | 60.287 | 59.83821 | 62.526 | 62.02554 |
|  | 4 | 69.914 | 69.91001 | 72.226 | 71.29299 | 69.909 | 69.90904 | 67.671 | 67.88755 |
|  | 5 | 99.914 | 99.1211 | 106.27 | 105.0703 | 93.124 | 92.4813 | 99.116 | 98.07729 |
|  | 6 | 116.20 | 116.7879 | 121.62 | 122.2525 | 116.20 | 116.6878 | 121.62 | 122.0271 |
| 90 | 1 | 31.79 | 31.2273 | 31.635 | 31.23432 | 27.193 | 26.97354 | 28.246 | 27.94254 |
|  | 2 | 37.817 | 37.52512 | 38.832 | 38.41455 | 29.060 | 28.63469 | 29.118 | 28.8126 |
|  | 3 | 68.943 | 68.11426 | 70.067 | 70.4469 | 58.986 | 58.30738 | 61.439 | 60.65877 |
|  | 4 | 71.598 | 71.55319 | 71.656 | 70.6869 | 71.595 | 71.53171 | 69.980 | 70.38489 |
|  | 5 | 100.24 | 99.44341 | 106.28 | 104.7968 | 93.379 | 92.79715 | 98.916 | 97.59926 |
|  | 6 | 116.60 | 117.9454 | 121.95 | 123.1286 | 116.31 | 117.626 | 121.35 | 122.4751 |
| 120 | 1 | 35.312 | 34.94199 | 36.014 | 35.49954 | 24.478 | 24.19527 | 25.305 | 24.92147 |
|  | 2 | 38.767 | 37.74511 | 38.149 | 37.3749 | 37.783 | 36.9338 | 37.348 | 36.67865 |
|  | 3 | 68.149 | 67.14357 | 71.279 | 70.00516 | 57.522 | 56.74935 | 60.438 | 59.45451 |
|  | 4 | 73.255 | 72.97766 | 72.421 | 72.80879 | 73.155 | 72.76459 | 72.411 | 72.80868 |
|  | 5 | 100.89 | 100.2036 | 106.49 | 104.7345 | 94.121 | 93.80656 | 98.929 | 97.43129 |
|  | 6 | 116.99 | 119.2224 | 122.04 | 123.9272 | 116.52 | 118.4048 | 120.95 | 122.4627 |
| 150 | 1 | 32.664 | 32.24842 | 33.104 | 32.53913 | 21.475 | 21.16366 | 22.111 | 21.69371 |
|  | 2 | 45.055 | 43.32378 | 43.874 | 42.52436 | 45.054 | 43.30029 | 43.870 | 42.50367 |
|  | 3 | 67.967 | 66.99707 | 71.710 | 70.00628 | 57.056 | 56.63866 | 60.798 | 59.86512 |
|  | 4 | 74.508 | 73.75364 | 74.453 | 74.57403 | 74.058 | 73.03464 | 74.404 | 74.40135 |
|  | 5 | 102.03 | 101.5928 | 107.05 | 105.1732 | 95.676 | 95.85077 | 99.505 | 98.11029 |
|  | 6 | 117.49 | 120.3647 | 122.16 | 124.3562 | 116.52 | 118.6321 | 120.39 | 121.294 |
| 180 | 1 | 30.026 | 29.59329 | 30.244 | 29.66875 | 18.323 | 18.01936 | 18.804 | 18.39869 |
|  | 2 | 49.331 | 46.73283 | 47.720 | 45.65463 | 47.344 | 44.82968 | 46.303 | 44.19681 |
|  | 3 | 69.509 | 68.70979 | 73.843 | 72.23303 | 61.077 | 60.85611 | 64.876 | 63.95779 |
|  | 4 | 75.096 | 73.66324 | 75.844 | 75.36939 | 73.986 | 72.17245 | 75.438 | 74.58999 |
|  | 5 | 103.77 | 103.6494 | 108.18 | 106.3506 | 98.205 | 98.913 | 101.03 | 100.0607 |
|  | 6 | 118.13 | 121.1933 | 122.34 | 124.2889 | 116.59 | 118.1492 | 119.68 | 120.2682 |

Table 6 The first non-dimensional frequency $\left(c=\omega R^{2} \phi_{T}^{2} \sqrt{\mu_{1} / E I_{1}}\right)$ for stepped $\operatorname{arch}\left(\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.25, \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-\right.$ $\left.0.125, i /\left(R \phi_{T}\right)=0.05\right)$, RL: Rossi and Laura (1995)

| $\phi_{T}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Clamped-clamped |  |  |  | Hinged-hinged |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\eta=0.6$ |  | $\eta=0.8$ |  | $\eta=0.6$ |  | $\eta=0.8$ |  |
|  | RL | Case 2 | RL | Case 2 | RL | Case 2 | RL | Case 2 |
| 20 | 18.938 | 18.89324 | 19.353 | 19.323 | 9.1326 | 9.087014 | 10.427 | 10.40495 |
| 40 | 21.632 | 21.48744 | 21.856 | 21.76279 | 14.338 | 14.21247 | 15.082 | 15.013 |
| 60 | 25.457 | 25.1643 | 25.432 | 25.23637 | 20.206 | 19.97951 | 20.582 | 20.4408 |
| 90 | 32.276 | 31.66855 | 31.808 | 31.37221 | 26.276 | 26.09361 | 28.003 | 27.71101 |
| 120 | 34.699 | 34.38216 | 35.894 | 35.39468 | 23.693 | 23.46151 | 25.103 | 24.73787 |
| 150 | 32.205 | 31.84912 | 33.028 | 32.48193 | 20.816 | 20.56212 | 21.945 | 21.54983 |
| 180 | 29.713 | 29.33669 | 30.208 | 29.65168 | 17.78 | 17.53348 | 18.672 | 18.28699 |

Table 7 First non-dimensional frequency $\left(c=\omega R^{2} \phi_{T}^{2} \sqrt{\mu_{1} / E I_{1}}\right)$ for stepped $\operatorname{arch}\left(\xi=1 / 3 i /\left(R \phi_{T}\right)=0.05\right)$, RL: Rossi and Laura (1995)

| $\phi_{T}$ | Clamped-clamped |  |  |  | Hinged-hinged |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\eta=0.6$ |  | $\eta=0.8$ |  | $\eta=0.6$ |  | $\eta=0.8$ |  |
|  | RL | Case 2 | RL | Case 2 | RL | Case 2 | RL | Case 2 |
| 20 | 19.467 | 19.42227 | 19.471 | 19.44183 | 8.9823 | 8.928887 | 10.285 | 10.26013 |
| 40 | 22.188 | 22.03443 | 21.998 | 21.90131 | 14.357 | 14.21507 | 15.034 | 14.95669 |
| 60 | 26.063 | 25.75236 | 25.608 | 25.40497 | 20.361 | 20.11288 | 20.611 | 20.4571 |
| 90 | 33.004 | 32.36908 | 32.05 | 31.60249 | 24.462 | 24.34808 | 27.4 | 27.14862 |
| 120 | 33.699 | 33.47839 | 35.561 | 35.12205 | 22.1 | 21.95332 | 24.586 | 24.26521 |
| 150 | 31.455 | 31.1932 | 32.787 | 32.29554 | 19.444 | 19.28401 | 21.509 | 21.15718 |
| 180 | 29.209 | 28.91519 | 30.055 | 29.54269 | 16.623 | 16.47071 | 18.309 | 17.96479 |

Table 8 First non-dimensional frequency $\left(c=\omega R^{2} \phi_{T}^{2} \sqrt{\mu_{1} / E I_{1}}\right)$ for stepped $\operatorname{arch}\left(\xi=0.5 i /\left(R \phi_{T}\right)=0.05\right)$, RL: Rossi and Laura (1995)

| $\phi_{T}$ | Clamped-clamped |  |  |  | Hinged-hinged |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\eta=0.6$ |  | $\eta=0.8$ |  | $\eta=0.6$ |  | $\eta=0.8$ |  |
|  | RL | Case 2 | RL | Case 2 | RL | Case 2 | RL | Case 2 |
| 20 | 20.1 | 20.19345 | 19.773 | 19.72876 | 8.8576 | 8.793438 | 10.106 | 10.07702 |
| 40 | 22.708 | 22.74091 | 22.268 | 22.17216 | 14.436 | 14.27071 | 14.981 | 14.88815 |
| 60 | 26.418 | 26.38005 | 25.831 | 25.65795 | 20.6 | 20.31963 | 20.651 | 20.4761 |
| 90 | 32.892 | 32.83958 | 32.157 | 31.82394 | 21.259 | 21.21294 | 25.918 | 25.71976 |
| 120 | 33.76 | 33.28304 | 35.088 | 34.71309 | 19.186 | 19.1322 | 23.254 | 23.002 |
| 150 | 31.728 | 31.2076 | 32.464 | 32.01369 | 16.853 | 16.80294 | 20.332 | 20.05912 |
| 180 | 29.703 | 29.15443 | 29.88 | 29.38889 | 14.379 | 14.34284 | 17.291 | 17.02894 |

Table 9 The results of Viola et al. (2007) and this study for the first ten frequencies (Hz) of two-stepped arches with different boundary conditions

| Mode No. | Clamped-Clamped |  |  | Hinged-Hinged |  |  | Clamped-Free |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Viola et al. (2007) |  | This Study | Viola et al. (2007) |  | This Study | Viola et al. (2007) |  | This Study |
|  | Analytical | GDQE |  | Analytical | GDQE |  | Analytical | GDQE |  |
| 1 | 49.535 | 49.535 | 49.5345 | 27.564 | 27.564 | 27.5638 | 20.433 | 20.433 | 20.43337 |
| 2 | 99.224 | 99.224 | 99.2244 | 74.838 | 74.838 | 74.8381 | 67.978 | 67.978 | 67.9778 |
| 3 | 178.742 | 178.742 | 178.7424 | 140.321 | 140.321 | 140.3207 | 195.761 | 195.761 | 195.7612 |
| 4 | 261.989 | 261.989 | 261.9886 | 215.215 | 215.215 | 215.2151 | 372.498 | 372.498 | 372.498 |
| 5 | 366.855 | 366.855 | 366.8553 | 313.167 | 313.167 | 313.1669 | 643.913 | 643.913 | 643.9125 |
| 6 | 485.004 | 485.004 | 485.0036 | 432.367 | 432.367 | 432.3673 | 928.625 | 928.625 | 928.6255 |
| 7 | 646.009 | 646.009 | 646.0085 | 576.539 | 576.539 | 576.5389 | 1312.164 | 1312.164 | 1312.164 |
| 8 | 732.315 | 732.321 | 732.3207 | 698.877 | 698.877 | 698.8793 | 1478.623 | 1478.623 | 1478.623 |
| 9 | 865.512 | 865.512 | 865.5124 | 823.817 | 823.817 | 823.8152 | 1740.342 | 1740.342 | 1740.342 |
| 10 | 969.683 | 969.694 | 969.694 | 882.598 | 882.603 | 882.6028 | 2262.455 | 2262.455 | 2262.455 |

with clamped-clamped, hinged-hinged and clamped-free ends are given in Table 9 with the results of this study. The dimensions of clamped-clamped and hinged-hinged arches are given as: The radius of arch $R=1 \mathrm{~m}$, the width $b=0.045 \mathrm{~m}$, the thicknesses $h_{1}=0.02 \mathrm{~m}, h_{2}=0.015 \mathrm{~m}, h_{3}=$ 0.02 m , the opening angle $\phi_{T}=120^{\circ}$, the opening angles ratio $\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=-0.25$, the step position parameter $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=0.5$. The dimensions of clamped-free arch are given as: The radius of arch $R=1$ m , the width $b=0.045 \mathrm{~m}$, the thicknesses $h_{1}=0.03 \mathrm{~m}, h_{2}=0.025 \mathrm{~m}, h_{3}=0.015 \mathrm{~m}$, the opening angle $\phi_{T}=70^{\circ}$, the opening angles of the subdomains $\phi_{1}=20^{\circ}, \phi_{2}=20^{\circ}, \phi_{3}=30^{\circ}$. The results of Viola et al. (2007) and this study are in exteremely good agreement.

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, an exact analytical solution for free in-plane vibrations of two-stepped arches is presented. The solution is obtained by using the initial values method. The exact solution developed for a uniform arch is adapted to the stepped arches. The stepped arch is divided into a number of arches with constant cross-sections. The exact solution of free vibrations of the stepped arches can be obtained in terms of the initial parameters; deformation, rotation, bending moment, normal force and shear force at one coordinate of the arch. The effects of axial extension, shear deformation and rotatory inertia are included in the analysis. The solutions are obtained also by considering each effect individually. No numerical difficulty was found in the proposed solution.

Detailed numerical results have been presented showing variations of non-dimensional frequency parameter with clamped-clamped, hinged-hinged, free-free, hinged-clamped, and clamped-free boundary conditions and with five geometric parameters for stepped arches; opening angle $\phi_{T}$, slenderness ratio $\lambda=R / i$, step ratio $h=h_{2} / h_{1}$, position parameter $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}$ and the opening angles ratio $\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}$.

The numerical data reveal that increasing the value of step ratio results in smaller frequency coefficients for the first six modes. As slenderness ratio, $\lambda$, increases from 50 to 200, the frequency
coefficients also increase due to the fact that the frequency coefficient contains $\lambda$.
The frequency coefficient increases sharply until some opening angle, then decreases slowly when the opening angle increases. This characteristic is almost the same for all boundary conditions except for the clamped-free arch. The significantly different characteristic is observed in the first mode; the frequency coefficient increases, as the opening angle increases, which is not observed in higher modes.
The effect of axial extension is dominant for clamped-clamped, hinged-hinged, and hingedclamped arches while the rotatory inertia has little effect for the first mode. The shear deformation effect is the dominant for a clamped-free arch and rotatory inertia is dominant for a free-free arch.
The step position parameter affects the frequency coefficients slightly. For the symmetric boundary conditions and all opening angles, the frequency coefficient has a maximum value at the crown of the arch for $h_{2} / h_{1}<1$, and a minimum value for $h_{2} / h_{1}>1$. For a clamped-free arch, when the position parameter increases, the frequency coefficient increases slightly for step ratio $h_{2} / h_{1}>1$, and decreases slightly for $h_{2} / h_{1}<1$.
For a clamped-clamped arch with a known opening angle and opening angles ratio, the frequency coefficient has a maximum value around $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.3$, and a minimum value when the stepped subdomain is in the middle of the beam for $h_{2} / h_{1}=0.4,1.2,1.6$ and 2.0 . The frequency coefficient has the minimum value around $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.3$ and the maximum value around $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.1$ for $h_{2} /$ $h_{1}=0.6$ and 0.8 . The similar characteristics can be observed for other symmetric boundary conditions.

The frequency coefficient of a clamped-clamped arch with a given opening angle is affected slightly by the opening angles ratio, $\psi_{T} / \phi_{T}$. The frequency coefficient decreases slightly for $\phi_{T}=90^{\circ}$, $135^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$, and increases for $\phi_{T}=10^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}$.
For a hinged-clamped arch, the frequency coefficients have the maximum value between $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=$ 0 and 0.1 for the step ratio of $h_{2} / h_{1}<1$, and the minimum value between $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=0$ and 0.1 , for $h_{2} /$ $h_{1}>1$.
For a hinged-clamped arch with the step position parameter of $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.1$ and -0.2 , the frequency coefficient increases sharply until the step ratio of $h_{2} / h_{1}=1.0$, and then decreases slightly. For the step position parameter of $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.4$ and -0.3 , the frequency coefficient reaches the maximum value around $h_{2} / h_{1}=1.2$. For the step position parameter of $\psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=0.0,0.1$ and 0.2 , the maximum of the frequency can be found around $h_{2} / h_{1}=1.4$. The frequency coefficients are a strong function of the position parameter for all step ratios.
For a clamped-free beam, the frequency coefficient increases for $h_{2} / h_{1}<1$ and decreases for $h_{2} /$ $h_{1}>1$, as position parameter increases. When the stepped portion moves from the clamped end to the free end, for the step ratio $h_{2} / h_{1}<1$, the frequency increases, and for $h_{2} / h_{1}>1$ the frequency decreases.

When the first few frequency coefficients of an arch are considered, some of the successive frequency coefficients get closer to each other for some opening angles. The mode transition phenomenon occurs in the opening angles in which the curves approach each other. The mode shapes change from one configuration to another form. For example, for a clamped-clamped arch with $\lambda=50, \psi_{T} / \phi_{T}=0.4, \psi_{1} / \phi_{T}=-0.3, h_{2} / h_{1}=0.8$ and $\phi_{T}=100^{\circ}$, the third mode shape is the same as the fourth mode shape for the beam with $\phi_{T}=120^{\circ}$. When the third and fourth mode shapes of circular beams with opening angles of $100^{\circ}$ and $120^{\circ}$ are obtained analytically and/or numerically (Figs. 15 and 16), it can be seen that the third mode shape of the beam with $\phi_{T}=100^{\circ}$ is the same as the fourth mode shape of the beam with $\phi_{T}=120^{\circ}$.

As a result of this study, it is possible to achieve dynamic stiffening of a circular arch executing in-plane vibrations by introducing appropriate discontinuities in the cross-section. The dynamic stiffening effect is measured by means of the "dynamic stiffening efficiency parameter" which can be defined as the ratio of the frequencies of the uniform arch and stepped arch.
The results presented in the paper fill an apparent void in the vibration literature by providing the first known theoretical results for circular arches with a certain practical type of variable crosssection. Because of the high accuracy of the presented results, they can be used to compare with data obtained using modern experimental and alternative analytical methods. For other types of arches, one can directly apply the present formulation to find accurate results. Furthermore, with a simple modification, the solution given in the paper can be straightforwardly applied to analyse the free vibrations of arch with continuously varying cross-section and curvature.
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